

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,457	03/24/2004	Mitsugi Chonan	032405.	2933
441 7:	590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			LE, DAVID D	
1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		CHONAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/807,457 Examiner	Art Unit				
•	David D. Le	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/24/04, 06/17/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/807,457, filed on 24 March 2004. Claims 1-8 are pending.

Documents

- 2. The following documents have been received and filed as part of the patent application:
 - Foreign Priority Document, received on 03/24/04
 - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 03/24/05
 - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 06/17/04
 - Declaration, received on 06/17/04
 - Power of Attorney, received on 09/15/04

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Page 9, line 13, the "recoil rope 46" should be --recoil rope 45--.
 - Page 14, line 20, "the driving shaft 82" should be --the front wheel driving shaft 82--.
 - Page 14, line 21, "a front wheel driving shaft 85" should be --a driving shaft 85--
 - Page 17, line 14, "leer 6" should be --lever 6--.
 - Page 18, line 28, "bake disk 100" should be --brake disk 100--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,457 Page 3

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

• Claim 1, line 4 recites the limitation "an engine". It is unclear whether this engine

is the same as the one, which is previously recited on line 1 of the claim.

• Claim 5 recites the limitation "said front wheel". There is insufficient antecedent

basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said front wheel". There is insufficient antecedent

basis for this limitation in the claim.

• Claim 7 recites the limitation "said front wheel". There is insufficient antecedent

basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said front wheel". There is insufficient antecedent

basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,457 Page 4

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 4,504,247 to Chana et al.

Claims 1-4:

Chana (Figs. 1-2; column 2, line 15 – column 6, line 2) discloses a control mechanism for a variable ratio drive system comprising:

- An engine (i.e., column 2, line 28);
- A crankshaft (24) driven by said engine, said crankshaft being arranged in a
 vehicle body in a widthwise direction of the vehicle body (i.e. Fig. 2);
- A primary shaft (16) which is provided with a primary pulley (44) having a variable groove width and to which the rotation of said crankshaft is operatively applied (i.e., Fig. 2);
- A secondary shaft (74) provided with a secondary pulley (94), said secondary
 pulley being coupled to said primary pulley via a belt (96) and having a variable
 groove width (i.e., Fig. 2);
- An output shaft (118) arranged in parallel to said secondary shaft and coupled to said secondary shaft via a forward/backward switching mechanism (120);

Art Unit: 3681

Page 5

- An axle (i.e., Fig. 2; being the combination of shafts 138 and 140) coupled to said output shaft via a gear train (i.e., Fig. 2); and inherently coupled to either front driving wheels or rear driving wheels;
- A sub-shaft (18) which is arranged parallel to said crankshaft and to which the
 rotation of said crankshaft is transmitted via a rotation transmitting member (22);
 and
- Wherein said primary shaft is concentrically arranged on said sub-shaft (i.e., Fig.
 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chana et al. in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,755,090 to Ima.

Claims 5-8:

Chana discloses the limitations as set forth above. Regarding claims 5-8, Chana lacks a front wheel driving shaft.

Art Unit: 3681

Ima (i.e., Fig. 1; column 7, line 55), on the other hand, teaches a gear retaining mechanism comprising:

Page 6

- A front wheel driving shaft (17) operatively coupled to axle (8) via a gear train (i.e., element 15); and
- Wherein said front wheel driving shaft (17) is operatively coupled to front wheels
 (12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize the important aspect of vehicle traction and modify

Chana variable ratio drive system to include the front wheel driving shaft, in view of Ima, for the benefit of improving the vehicle traction during off-road operations.

Double Patenting

10. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Art Unit: 3681

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

11. Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/807,455. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Chonan et al. (U. S. Patent Application Publication No. US2004/0209725) teaches a
 power transmission system of engine as shown in Fig. 2.
 - Smit et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,458,318) teaches a control arrangement for a variable pulley transmission as shown in Fig. 1.
 - Moroto et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,602,525) teaches a continuously variable speed transmission for a vehicle having a forward-reverse changeover mechanism as shown in Fig. 1.
 - Iwatuki et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,875,152) teaches a vehicle drive line as shown in Fig. 6.
 - Hofbauer et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,392,394) teaches an engine-gear arrangement for vehicles as shown in Fig. 1.

Art Unit: 3681

• Hattori (U. S. Patent No. 4,560,369) teaches a belt type continuous reduction gear

mechanism as shown in Fig. 1.

• Lupo et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,304,150) teaches a transmission unit for motor vehicles as

shown in Fig. 1.

• Murakami et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,902,502) teaches a continuously variable

transmission as shown in Fig. 8.

• Japanese Patent No. JP404285354A teaches a transmission for vehicle as shown in Fig. 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David D. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7092. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (0700-1530).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ddl

CHARLES A. MARMOH

* DT INIT 368/

Charlo Wam 6/23/05

Page 8